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Abstract
Objective: To assess the new clinical evidence regarding the efficacy and safety of Kombucha for human health.

Background: Kombucha is one of the most popular and rapidly expanding functional beverages globally. It has gathered significant attention, primarily due to its claimed health benefits, such as enhancing the immune system and potentially possessing antidiabetic properties.

Methodology: Systematic review was performed following PRISMA 2020 guidelines without a meta-analysis. The aim was to analyze recent literature (within the past six years) on health publications and examine the trials of human benefits on kombucha consumption. Both authors independently conducted a comprehensive review and reached a conclusion. Tools were used to calculate Kappa agreement index between authors and create a PRISMA flowchart to assess study quality.

Results: Five significant bibliometric reviews and four new human trials were identified. The initial trial examining the impact of Kombucha on intestinal microbiota showed neutral/negative results in healthy individuals. However, in a second microbiota trial, Kombucha significantly improved symptoms among patients with irritable bowel syndrome. Moreover, two recent clinical trials on diabetes strongly suggest that Kombucha enhances carbohydrate metabolism, indicating a potential antidiabetic effect for diabetic individuals. Nevertheless, it is important to note that these results should be considered a positive preliminary pilot assay due to the limited number of patients.

Conclusions: Our research has shown that there is still controversy over the health benefits of Kombucha. Although there have been some limited clinical trials, the results are often contradictory, making it difficult to determine the positive effects of Kombucha on human health. The purpose of this review is to assess the conflicting information on the health benefits of consuming Kombucha.
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Introduction
Kombucha is a mildly acidic and carbonated beverage that results from fermenting specific types of tea sweetened with a symbiotic culture of bacteria and yeast (SCOBYs) [1,2]. It is a low-alcohol, fermented drink that has recently gained popularity and has significantly impacted the functional beverage market [3,4].

Kombucha contains various bioactive substances derived from the plant substrates used (such as tea, herbal extracts, or fruit juices) and from the metabolic activity of acetic acid, lactic acid bacteria and yeasts [2]. These bioactive compounds include phenolic compounds (such as catechins, theaflavins, and flavanols), organic acids (such as gluconic and glucuronic acids, as well as lactic acid), vitamins, minerals, and essential amino acids. Different groups of microorganisms, each with different concentrations, contribute to the production of these bioactive compounds [5].

As a result, Kombucha can be considered a positive source of probiotic substances in the food realm or a postbiotic functional beverage [6].

In addition to its functional properties, Kombucha has been documented to have other beneficial and therapeutic effects, including its role as an antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, anti-aging solution, and potential anti-tumor activity. At the same time, some authors have periodically published articles conducting systematic reviews of clinical evidence related to Kombucha in recent years [10-16]. Nevertheless, some research argues that there is a lack of evidence from controlled clinical trials in humans to support these claimed health benefits [13, 14].

In summary, this article aims to perform a contemporary bibliometric and systematic review of the potential health benefits of Kombucha as reported in the scientific literature. We will extensively search medical databases such as PubMed, WebScience, Clinical Trials.gov, Cochrane, Bireme, and Lilacs, focusing on the most recent six years.

Methods

Bibliographic Review
We conducted a systematic review, excluding statistical meta-analysis, following the 2020 Prisma Guide (http://www.prisma-statement.org) [17]. An intense search of scientific literature and medical databases was conducted in English and Spanish. These databases included PubMed, WebScience, Cochrane, Bireme, Lilacs, and, lastly, Clinical Trials.gov, the clinical trials register of the
National Library of Medicine (NLM). The comprehensive review was independently conducted by two authors of this work, one as an experienced researcher (C.A) and the other as a researcher in training (C.G). They established a protocol for selecting and excluding relevant publications. We standardized computer-based supports with various sections (title, authors, journal, year, abstract). The research strategy encompassed all studies aiming to develop associations related to specific terms or keywords used in the query.

The MeSH (Medical Subject Headings) descriptors were searched for the terms "kombucha*," "kombucha tea," "kombucha beverage," "fermented kombucha beverage," "Camellia sinensis," "kombucha nutraceutical," "kombucha probiotic," "kombucha prebiotic," and "kombucha's health effects" in the context of "human beings". The search did not specify a particular population. The article selection period was unrestricted and included publications until the paper submission date, primarily focusing on 2018 to 2023. All references were managed using the Mendeley reference management software. Regular meetings were held between the two researchers after completing the search on each platform (PubMed, WebScience, etc.) to establish effective feedback for training and to compare the findings of both authors while assessing the concordance of different options. Various quality control mechanisms were selected for the bibliographic review to evaluate internal validity (the robustness of the research and the absence of systematic errors) and external validity (by analyzing selection bias, detection performance, and loss of reporting). Some tools were developed to assess the quality of the studies, such as calculating the Kappa concordance index between the two authors during the selection of systematic reviews and clinical trials. Finally, a Prisma flowchart (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) was used to ensure the reliability of the results.

Exclusion and inclusion criteria of the review

The exclusion criteria involved experimental work with animals and in vitro tests with cultured cells, including human cells like fibroblasts and intestinal tumor cells (Caco-2 colorectal cancer cells), which were not the target of this review. The inclusion criteria for selected articles in the systematic review spanned the period from 2018 to 2023 to explore potential human health benefits and examine clinical evidence of biological activities related to Kombucha, along with advancements in health benefits associated with kombucha consumption.

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was performed using the Stata v.14.0 calculation program (STATA Corp, College Station, TX, USA). Cohen's Kappa agreement coefficient was calculated to assess the agreement between the two authors in selecting the articles resulting from the screening. The Kappa coefficient was interpreted using Bryt criteria (1966) as follows: Kappa ≤ 0 indicates no agreement, k = 0.01-0.20 signifies poor agreement, k = 0.21-0.40 indicates slight agreement, k = 0.41-0.60 reflects fair agreement, k = 0.61-0.80 indicates good agreement, k = 0.81 to 0.92 signifies good excellent agreement and kappa 0.93-1.0 denotes excellent agreement. Additionally, kappa indices adjusted for bias (BAK) and prevalence and bias (PARAB) were calculated [18-21].

Results

The primary objective of this work is to discover new information regarding the potential health effects on humans resulting from the consumption of Kombucha, following the inclusion and exclusion criteria outlined in the methods section. The results of our study selection process are presented in Flowchart (Figure 1). We initially identified 2303 articles in our electronic search, with 932 from PubMed using the keyword algorithm outlined in (Table 1) and 1371 from WebScience using the keyword algorithm described in (Table 1). Furthermore, we explored ClinicalTrials.gov and were left with three clinically relevant trials for eligibility. Analyzing the Cochrane database led us to a new trial related to diabetes, which is also appropriate for eligibility. Our exploration of the Bireme and Lilacs platforms in English and Spanish yielded no clinical trials.

Figure 1. PRISMA Flow diagram showing research methodology
Concerning the clinical assay of Pilipenko, V. et al. (2022) [23]. This trial assessed the short-term safety and tolerability of a new pasteurized, non-alcoholic beverage based on Kombucha enriched with inulin. The study included 40 participants with constipation-predominant irritable bowel syndrome, randomly assigned to drink either 220 ml of the kombucha-based beverage with inulin or 220 ml of water (control group) for ten days. Participants completed surveys before and after the trial, focusing on variables like stool frequency, form, and accompanying symptoms. The student's t-test was used for statistical analysis to determine differences between the treatment and placebo groups. Results indicate that Kombucha is well-tolerated and may improve both stool frequency and consistency in individuals with constipation-predominant irritable bowel syndrome. However, a more in-depth analysis of the clinical trial reveals that the pasteurized Kombucha used in the study differs from the regular form available in the market. The statistical methods utilized are relatively straightforward, and there is substantial overlap in the means and standard deviations of results between the kombucha and placebo groups. Relying on subjective patient impressions as study variables limits the trial's robustness. In summary, the authors recommend refining the trial's methodology and analysis to enhance its robustness and make replicating it more challenging.

Regarding the clinical assay from the USA of Mendelson, C., Sparkes, S., et al. (2023) [24]. This clinical study they aimed to explore the potential antihyperglycemic effects of Kombucha in adults with type II diabetes mellitus (T2D). The study involved 12 participants aged 18 or older, following a prospective double-blinded crossover design. Participants were instructed to consume either Kombucha or a placebo (240 ml/day) with dinner for four weeks. The results showed that Kombucha reduced average fasting blood glucose levels compared to the baseline (164 mg versus 116 mg/dl, p=0.035). Only seven participants who completed the entire study were included in the analysis of fasting blood glucose. In summary, the limited number of participants raises concerns about the robustness of the statistical calculations; thus, this study is considered a pilot trial. Moreover, Kombucha might emerge as a viable alternative for people with diabetes, contending with the challenge of adhering to a routine of consuming only water. However, additional research, such as human clinical trials, is required to confirm these findings.

Finally, concerning Atkinson, F.S., Brand-Miller, J., et al. (2023) [25]. The study aimed to compare the Glycemic and insulin responses of a high-carbohydrate meal paired with Kombucha, soda water, or diet soft drink. Significant differences were found in a randomized trial with 11 healthy adults from Australia. Kombucha showed a lower change in plasma glucose from baseline to peak compared to soda water (p=0.003) and diet lemonade (p=0.008). The mean glycemic index (G.I.) values for Kombucha were significantly lower than soda water (p=0.041) and diet drinks (p=0.050). However, caution is advised due to the small sample size and the lack of clinical context when interpreting these findings for the potential long-term impact of Kombucha on individuals with carbohydrate-specific diseases.

In summary, regarding the clinical trials on the benefits of consuming Kombucha at the intestinal microbiota level, Hanna Bergstrom from Sweden aimed to investigate the effect of Kombucha on the human gut microbiota through a clinical trial. The study focused on understanding whether Kombucha, which contains living microorganisms, has probiotic potential and how it impacts the body. The trial involved 42 healthy individuals aged 18 or older who were randomly assigned to drink either live or sterilized Kombucha (330 ml daily) or water (control) for three weeks. The bacterial characterization of fecal samples was analyzed by PCR-Sanger sequencing, and the proposed statistical analyses involved ANOVA and Cluster plots. The study of the data shows a conclusive result: there is no effect from either live or sterilized Kombucha on the intestinal microbiota. Neither the clustering, the statistical analysis, nor the table of differences show a difference due to treatment. In brief, with a correct number of samples and employing robust statistics, the study found no effect of Kombucha on the intestinal flora after three weeks of consumption. Even though it is not statistically verifiable, several participants (three out of eight) observed positive changes during the study (improved consistency of the feces/easier defecation), so other unknown health benefits of Kombucha remain in the microbiological community of the patient's gut.
Conclusion
Our analysis of existing literature reveals a dearth of affirmative outcomes in human studies, underscoring the need for more scientifically sound articles. Some clinical trial results on microbiota are contradictory and debatable, necessitating further investigation, particularly to fathom the beneficial impacts of consuming kombucha. Although recent preliminary positive results in diabetes research are encouraging, it is important to exercise caution due to limited documentation. It would be beneficial to conduct a trial assay backed by a government agency or a reputable organization to explore the potential advantages of consuming this functional beverage without any industry influence or profit motives.
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Table 1. Keyword algorithm PubMed and WebScience

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PubMed</th>
<th>WebScience</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kombucha (Title,abstract) OR kombucha tea (Title,abstract) OR kombucha beverage (Title,abstract) OR fermented tea beverage kombucha (Title,abstract) OR Camellia sinensis (Title,abstract) OR kombucha autonomic (Title,abstract) OR kombucha probiotic (Title,abstract) OR kombucha probiotic (Title,abstract) OR (kombucha benefits health effects) AND human (Title,abstract), articles with abstracts and full-text years 2018-2023 (in Chinese, English, A) OR Spanish(Spanish,LA))</td>
<td>TS=(kombucha*) OR TS=(kombucha beverage) OR TS=(kombucha fermented beverage) OR TS=(Camellia sinensis) OR TS=(kombucha autonomic) OR TS=(kombucha probiotic) OR TS=(kombucha benefits health) AND TS=(human) and 2022 or 2021 or 2020 or 2019 or 2018 (Publication Years) and LA=(English) or LA=(Spanish)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Systematic Review Studies

1. Martinez Leal, T., Valenzuela Sustar, L., Isayathen, R., Huerta Oroz, J., Escalante-Albarran, A. et al. (2018). A review on health benefits of kombucha nutritional compounds and metabolites. In summary, the review focuses on the recent findings regarding the beneficial effect of kombucha and discusses its chemical compounds (polyphenols, vitamins, minerals), as well as the metabolites that result from the fermentation process (acetic acid, ethanol). Besides some contradictions of kombucha consumption are also reviewed. This article emphasizes that the concentration of active components in the drink will vary depending on the SCOBY (symbiotic culture of bacteria and yeast) and the preparation methods. Controlled research is warranted to assess the health effects on humans, because some contradictions have been reported.

2. Kopp, M., Stunner, W. (2010). Kombucha: a systematic review of the empirical evidence of human health benefits. The literature involving non-human subjects suggests multiple health advantages associated with kombucha; these claims must be subjected to human clinical trials. The possibilities for investigating the potential health benefits of kombucha in human subjects are extensive and potentially significant for the health of the U.S. population. The human health benefits of kombucha need to be tested in clinical trials. Mechanisms to explain human health outcomes will be studied. How might kombucha improve health outcomes in humans? The exact microbiological composition of kombucha varies; the underlying mechanism of action is not well understood, and SCOBY biology and by-products are complex and dynamic mixtures.

3. Morales, D. (2020). Biological activities of kombucha beverages: The need for clinical evidence? The article’s conclusion emphasizes that, despite the intriguing results obtained in vitro, there is a need for further research, including additional in vivo experiments and human clinical trials. Additionally, it’s important to isolate bioactive compounds to study individual molecules and their functionality. In summary, further research involving more in vivo human testing, assessments of bioavailability, microbial modulation studies, clinical trials, and the isolation of known and novel bioactive components is required to confirm the extensively reported in vitro biological effects of kombucha beverages and their constituents. Furthermore, the strengths and weaknesses of the current evidence around kombucha bioactivities were pointed out.

4. Kengeri Vengal, B., Freemanette Facaroa, M., Zacha Ayub, M. (2021). Health effects and prebiotic and probiotic potential of Kombucha: A bibliometric and systematic review. This article reviews the available information in the literature regarding the relationship between kombucha composition, consumption, and the associated health effects and probiotic potential. The construction of this bibliometric and systematic review is to gather data on the biological properties of kombucha, thus enhancing our understanding of this so-called functional beverage. While several studies report that kombucha possesses antimicrobial, antioxidant, detoxifying, and hepatoprotective activities, among others, and classify it as a probiotic drink, there is a lack of scientific evidence regarding the probiotic content in this beverage and its potential role in the intestinal microbiota. These factors underscore the opportunities for research and modification of the microbiome composition of kombucha, potentially improving the overall qualities of this functional beverage. The variability in the kombucha microbial community demonstrates the complexity of the fermentation process. As the demand for this product is increasing, scientific studies are necessary to standardize the started culture with well-known microorganisms, control the metabolism produced, standardize the final product, and develop further studies on the biological activities of kombucha, establishing it as a functional beverage.

5. Economou, T., Saridakis, S., Giolakis, S., Brinis, E., Ge, L., Ibrahim, S., & Hinderer, B. et al. (2023). Additional advances related to the health benefits associated with kombucha consumption? Further developments concerning the health advantages linked to kombucha consumption. Hepatoprotective effects on gut microbiota, antioxidant activity, and potential toxicity. Other clinical trials are necessary for a more comprehensive comprehension of how kombucha benefits human health and whether its consumption can be targeted to address particular health issues. Additionally, the toxicity of kombucha is not sufficiently documented or assessed.
1. Table 3 Human Clinical Trials eligible

Bergstrom, R., et al. (2019): A double-blind placebo-controlled study of the effect of the fermented tea drink kombucha in human intestinal microbiota. The aim of this study was to investigate the consumption of kombucha on the human gut microbiota. The goal is to gain deeper knowledge into the impact of kombucha, containing living microorganisms, on the body, as well as to see if it has probiotic potential. A double-blind, placebo-controlled study was designed to assess the effect of kombucha on the human gut flora. For the study, 42 healthy individuals were chosen, all of them 18 years of age or older, the gender of the participants was not taken into consideration. The enrolled test subjects were randomly assigned to receive one of two kinds of kombucha (live, sterilized) or a control drink (water). Randomization was performed by three treatment computer software. The participants consumed 330 ml daily of their designated drink during the three-week test period. The content of microorganisms in the kombucha sample is approximate to be 10^6-10^7 CFU/ml, most of them yeast. The analysis of trial samples was performed by PCR-Sanger sequencing for bacterial and fungal characterization. The proposed statistical analysis were ANOVA and Cluster plots. The results and the discussion on the data from the human study will therefore focus on the bacterial communities, excluding yeast because the results from the sequencing of the yeast isolates have not yet arrived for this publication. The analysis of the data shows a conclusive result: there is no effect from either live or sterilized kombucha on the intestinal microbiota. Neither the clustering, the statistical analysis, nor the table of differences show a difference due to treatment. The conclusion of the study found no effect of kombucha on the intestinal flora after three weeks of consumption. The clinical trial shows some problems of contamination of the water samples (negative control) and the fact that the results from the fungal sequencing were excluded, together with the problem with molecular methods DNA analysis that cannot distinguish between alive or dead microorganisms present in the samples. All these facts decreased the statistical robustness of the results. Even though it is not statistically verifiable, several participants (three out of eight) observed positive changes during the study (improved consistency of the feces, water defecation). Even without an effect on the microbiological community of the gut, the other health benefits of kombucha remain.

2. Pilipenko, V., et al. (2022): New Specialized Kombucha-Based Non-alcoholic Pasteurized Beverage Shows Favorable Profile of Short-Term Safety and Tolerability in Patients With Gastritis (25). The purpose of this clinical trial is to evaluate the short-term safety and tolerability of a new pasteurized, non-alcoholic beverage based on kombucha, enriched with insulin. The study involved 40 participants with constipation-predominant irritable bowel syndrome, who were randomly assigned to receive either 220 ml of the non-alcoholic kombucha-based pasteurized beverage enriched with insulin (1.15 g/100 ml) or 220 ml of water (control group) for a duration of 10 days. Both before and after the trial, each participant underwent a survey focusing on key variables, including stool frequency, stool form, and the assessment of accompanying symptoms such as abdominal pain/discomfort, abdominal fullness, bloating, and the sensation of incomplete bowel emptying. The statistical method employed for the control (placebo) test was the student’s t-test, used to determine the significance of mean differences between the treatment and placebo groups. The findings suggest that kombucha is well-tolerated and can increase both stool frequency and consistency in individuals with constipation-predominant irritable bowel syndrome. However, a more in-depth analysis of the clinical trial reveals that the pasteurized kombucha used in the study differs from the regular form available in the market. The statistical methods utilized are relatively straightforward, and there is substantial overlap in the means and standard deviations of results between the kombucha and placebo groups. Relying on subjective patient impressions as study variables introduces some limitations to the trial’s overall robustness. In summary, the authors recommend refining the trial’s methodology and analyses to evaluate its robustness and ethical costs implications to society.

Table 4 Human Clinical Trials eligible

3. Medenina, C., Sparkes, S., et al. (2023): Evaluating the Effects of Kombucha as a Hyperglycemic therapeutic agent on diabetic human subjects (26). This clinical study aimed to assess the potential anti-hyperglycemic effects of kombucha in adults with type II diabetes mellitus (T2DM). The study followed a prospective double-blind crossover design, involving 12 participants aged 18 years or older. Participants were instructed to consume either kombucha or a placebo (240 ml/day) for 4 weeks with dinner. Changes in fasting blood glucose were analyzed using a paired, two-tailed student’s t-test. The results revealed that kombucha led to a reduction in average fasting blood glucose levels compared to the baseline (164 ± 116 mg/dl, p = 0.035). However, the difference in fasting blood levels at 4 weeks between the kombucha treatment and the placebo was not statistically significant (163 ± 164 mg/dl, p = 0.265). were included in the analysis of fasting blood glucose. In summary, the limited number of participants (only seven participants who completed the entire study) raises concerns about the robustness of the statistical calculations. Therefore, this assay is considered a pilot and further investigation is warranted.

4. Atkinson, F.S. Brand-Miller, J. et al. (2013). Glycemic index and insulin index after a standard carbohydrate meal consumed with live kombucha: A randomised, placebo-controlled, crossover study (25). The goal of this study was to assess the glycemic index (GI) and insulin index (II) responses when consuming a standard high-carbohydrate meal along with kombucha, in comparison to soda water or diet soft drink. The trial was a randomized, placebo-controlled crossover study involving 11 healthy adults aged 18-45 years from Australia. Participants were directed to consume 330 ml of organic Kombucha, soda water, or diet soft drink in six randomized test sessions facilitated by computer software. Statistical analysis, using the standard non-parametric Wilcoxon signed-rank test, revealed significant differences. The change in plasma glucose from baseline to peak was notably lower for the kombucha test meal compared to meals with soda water (p < 0.003) and diet lemonade (p < 0.003). Additionally, the mean GI values for the kombucha meal versus soda water or diet drink (68 vs. 66, p = 0.047; 64 vs. 60, 0.050) were also significantly lower. However, due to the small sample size and the absence of clinical context, caution is advised when extrapolating these findings to the potential long-term impact of kombucha consumption or its relevance to individuals with carbohydrate-specific diseases. Consideration should be given to viewing this trial as a pilot study, and further research is needed.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FirstAuthor (year)</th>
<th>Country Statistics</th>
<th>Sample Size (n)</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Volumen kombucha</th>
<th>Duration assay</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Piipenkoa,V, et.al(2022)</td>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>40 IBS*</td>
<td>&gt;18</td>
<td>220 ml</td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td>Fecesien stool</td>
<td>Positive symptomes IBS*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madelson,C, et.al(2022)</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>12 T2D**</td>
<td>&gt;18</td>
<td>240 ml</td>
<td>4 week</td>
<td>Fasting blood glucose levels</td>
<td>Positive reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atkinson,F, et.al(2023)</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>11 healthy</td>
<td>18-45</td>
<td>330 ml</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>Glycomic index, Insulin Index</td>
<td>Positive reduction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*IBS (Irritable Bowel syndrome)
**T2D (type 2 Diabetes)