

REVIEWER'S COMMENT-2

The article titled "Creating Social Work Civic Citizens: Professional and Educational Responsibility?" provides a historical review of the development of social work and its role in fostering civic responsibility. In particular, it explores the historical roots of the social work profession, issues related to civic competency in social work, and the future of civics education in social work. However, it lacks the depth and rigor expected of a research-based scientific paper. The review primarily focuses on historical perspectives without advancing new knowledge or contributing to ongoing scholarly discussions in the field.

Strengths:

Historical Context: The article effectively outlines the historical evolution of social work's engagement with civic responsibility, highlighting important milestones and shifts in professional and educational approaches.

Clarity of Presentation: The ideas are presented in a clear and organized manner, making it accessible to readers interested in the topic.

Weaknesses:

Lack of Research Contribution: The article falls short of contributing new insights or advancing current knowledge in the field. It primarily reiterates established historical facts without empirical evidence.

Absence of Methodological Rigor: As a review lacking empirical research, it does not adhere to the conventions of a scientific paper, such as a clear methodology, data analysis, or theoretical frameworks.

Recommendations for Improvement:

Research Contribution: I encourage the authors to delve deeper into research gaps within social work's role in promoting civic responsibility. This could involve empirical studies, theoretical frameworks, or case analyses that offer new insights.

Literature review: I encourage the review of similar/relevant studies that could help identify gaps and serve as a benchmark for the comparison of the findings.

Methodological Rigor: I advise the authors to adhere to scientific research standards, including clear methodologies, data collection and analysis methods.

In conclusion, the paper falls short of meeting the standards expected of a research-based scientific paper. Enhancing its research contribution, methodological rigor, and engagement with

current debates (review of similar and/or relevant studies) would significantly strengthen its scholarly impact and relevance.