

Reviewer 1

1. Edited throughout to clarify focus of the study on the emotional toll DACA recipients experienced during the pandemic.
2. The theory section was revised and moved for clarity and improve integration
3. Clarified that the study uses content analysis and removed phenomenological as a descriptor. The reviewer was astute in noticing this error.
4. Edited throughout to clarify that this is a mixed methods study that drew from surveys and interviews. Both types of data collection were used to develop a fuller understanding of the experiences and demographics of the participants.
5. Citations were reviewed and added as needed.
6. The reviewer makes an excellent point regarding the availability of healthcare information in Spanish during the pandemic. This has been rewritten to reflect the difficulties the participants had in obtaining culturally-specific information.

Reviewer 2

1. Abstract: revised and tightened to clarify the scope of the study and the data collection/analysis process
2. Introduction: revised and edited with inclusion of citations that were missing, and the addition of a state-of-knowledge summary and research questions informed by the summary and its gaps
3. Methods: Research questions defined; Methods rewritten and clarified; added step by step of data analysis.

4. Findings: Section rewritten for content and clarity. Primary themes are clarified and highlighted with headings. The graphs have been enlarged with titles and detailed descriptions.
5. Discussion and Conclusion: Rewritten for content and clarity. The relevance and implications of the findings are further discussed. The limitations are expanded and clarified.