

The abstract suggests the scientific community that individual actions within a cultural framework can protect children and promote their well-being, which could be valuable for studies on child welfare systems, particularly in non-Western contexts where adoption practices may differ from global norms. Nonetheless, the adoption is framed as a positive example of integration, but critics may question whether it truly reflects healthy family dynamics or whether it risks portraying an idealized version of an unusual and potentially problematic situation.

The topic of the article may be seen as exaggerated, and local readers could quickly associate the article and the author with a particular political view due to the specific language choices used. For example, the use of "Oromo people with East Africa" instead of "Ethiopia," and "Finfinnee" instead of the internationally recognized name "Addis Ababa," might raise concerns. These terms are strongly associated with regional and political identities, which could lead readers to interpret the article as reinforcing certain political or ethnic narratives rather than focusing purely on the socio-cultural aspects of adoption. This language choice could potentially limit the article's objectivity and its reception among a broader audience, especially in Ethiopia, where political sensitivities around ethnic identity and regional naming conventions are high.

The abstract lack a key element. Readers easily question whether the study sufficiently addresses any risks related to the child's safety, psychological well-being, or the implications of being raised in a gang-affiliated environment. Because their evidence that religious people harm children. Better to limit the scope(i.e. avoids East Africa): for instance: Some may feel that the paper doesn't go far enough in critically engaging with gender expectations surrounding adoption in East African cultures. "i.e. Gedam Sefer" is a very small location in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia)

Following the aim of the paper, here are alternative topics:

- 1.Exploring the Cultural Dynamics: The Role of a Gang Leader's Adoption of an Orphaned Child in Promoting Social Integration within Arada Sub-City, Ethiopia"
2. Fostering Social Cohesion: The Impact of Local Adoption on Community Integration in Arada Sub-City, Ethiopia"

Synthesize and connect sources: Don't just summarize older studies; instead, connect them to your research problem, showing how they lead to a gap that your study will fill.

My main concern is that it is not clear how a so-called 'gang leader' can be connected to positive social dynamics, especially without a defined religious or moral framework. The paper should establish a clear link between these ideas and support them with both empirical and theoretical evidence. Summary, Rewriting the introduction and literature review with a focus on contemporary studies will not only enhance the strength of the paper but also demonstrate that you are engaging with the most current debates and research. By clearly defining the gap the study fills and showing how the approach is unique and relevant, the paper will make a more compelling case.

Specific Comments: The overall aim of the paper, which is to promote local adoption as a means of care and support for orphaned and vulnerable children, could be a significant contribution to the field. However, it lacks the necessary data or information to triangulate and clearly demonstrate the achievement of this aim, as well as to ensure the trustworthiness of the paper. To improve readability, particularly from a local perspective, the author should avoid using politically charged language, as it may not be appropriate for an international audience. Contemporary Ethiopian communities are socially sensitive and divided, so claims of integration must be supported by strong logical and empirical evidence.