I am uncertain about the focus of this paper. The author can improve or otherwise strengthen the paper with clarity about the focus and the relevance of the topic. I am hoping that the author would demonstrate the contemporary relevance of the topic and how Jane Addams's work informs contemporary practice in assimilation and integration. I note that "today" is in the title so the introduction should address with more clarity about today's challenges and why the work of Jane Addams informs today's challenges.

I would like a title with more focus and clarity. Maybe something like "Contemporary challenges in assimilation and integration: The continuing relevance of Jane Addams"

The abstract is comprehensive, but I would like a sense of the analysis and the findings from the analysis.

I feel that the paper requires a reorganization that is consistent with a strong focus and more clarity. The introduction can offer a rationale for focusing on the activism and methods for which Jane Addams advocated. Then the background section could go into contemporary challenges in assimilation and integration. Then the literature review. From those sections the author can explore the relevance of specific practices from Addams's perspective. Then the conclusion and implications. In its present form there are just too many sections and I get lost in those sections without a strong framework of purpose, background and literature.

There are numerous references. They strike me as relevant. But I would like to see an organized literature review that informs the topic of the paper. I would like the authors to work with Addams's work as part of the literature review.

This is a promising paper. It deserves reworking and reorganizing. The paper can benefit from fewer sections and the authors can offer a more coherent treatment of both the work of Jane Addams and how it informs contemporary practice in assimilation and integration, two tough challenges

unfolding globally. I would like to see a conclusion about how Jane Addams informs contemporary practice that are derivative from the scholarship within the mid-section of the paper. Then the paper can benefit from the authoring collecting on the implications running through this draft and organizing them as a coherent final section of the paper. I would support the author in reviewing a subsequent draft of the paper.