

Reviewer -2

Thank you for the opportunity to read about the Louisville initiative, which is impressive and should be encouraged.

Based on the choice of Keywords it is an interesting article. However, if it is written in journal style, a more comprehensive account of and adequate reference needed. The article would benefit from rewriting, with academic input, to make more extensive and adequate reference to existing theory, and practice, through increased number and more appropriate citations, to justify academic claims made by the authors.

The introduction and background mainly focus on food deserts, which is not a widely used term today, and alternatives such as food insecurity are more commonly used.

It would appear that the authors have used images copied from reports etc., which is unusual and not accepted practice. This requires consideration, clarification etc.,

As to be expected in a detailed case study, the existing sections, e.g. results are highly descriptive accounts of the program and context or issues locally. However, from an academic journal article this needs to be compared, more critically, with extant literature and similar examples.

Much more detail of the methods are required. Is it a review? Or a case study? Both are mentioned. Methods are not provided and not written in standard manuscript format for publication.

More criticality in the comparison of the case study with other case studies, and consideration of context and cultural sensitivity, could be adopted.

The conclusion section is far too long,