Review Comments-1

1. Summary

This paper provides a comprehensive, comparative analysis of the complex relationship between cybersecurity and human rights in the digital era. Using the United States and China as case studies, it explores how surveillance, data collection, AI, blockchain, and encryption impact individual freedoms particularly privacy and freedom of expression. It identifies tensions between security policies and civil liberties and recommends rights-centric governance frameworks with global applicability. The paper is grounded in recent literature and offers thoughtful recommendations for policy reform and international cooperation.

2. General Comments

The article is timely, relevant, and well-researched, offering a multidisciplinary approach that spans law, technology, and ethics. The structure is logical and comprehensive, with well-defined sections including theory, case analysis, technological considerations, and forward-looking recommendations. The language is mostly clear and academic, although a few sections could benefit from improved conciseness and minor grammatical refinement. The references are recent and relevant.

3. Constructive Criticism

Strengths:

- **Topical Relevance:** The focus on cybersecurity and human rights aligns with current global debates.
- **Comparative Approach:** The U.S.–China juxtaposition is insightful and enriches the analysis.
- **Policy-Oriented:** Offers actionable recommendations that go beyond theory.
- **Technological Depth:** Covers encryption, AI, blockchain, and emerging tools in good detail.

Areas for Improvement:

- **Language and Expression:**
    - ✓ Some sections (especially those with extended block quotes or dense legal phrasing) would benefit from stylistic editing for clarity and brevity.

- ✓ A few repeated ideas could be consolidated (e.g., discussions of China's surveillance laws and their implications appear in multiple forms).

- **Case Study Structure:**
  - ✓ Labeling the U.S. and China sections as **Case Study A and B** feels unnecessarily generic; using country names directly would improve clarity.

- **Redundancy:**
  - ✓ Some content—especially about surveillance and freedom of expression—could be trimmed to avoid redundancy and enhance focus.

- **Formatting:**
  - ✓ Ensure consistency in citation style (APA is used but not always uniformly formatted).
  - ✓ Double-check alignment of headings and subheadings for a polished manuscript.

(3) Recommendations

Recommendation: Publish after minor corrections

Scoring

Criteria	**Score (1–10)**
Relevance	10
Novelty	8
Creativity	8
Technical Content	9
Language Expression	7
Overall Score	**8.5**

Final Thoughts:

This paper makes a meaningful academic contribution to the intersection of cybersecurity policy and digital rights. With minor revisions, particularly in stylistic polish and removal of

redundancies, it would be a strong candidate for publication in OJBM or a similarly positioned journal.