

I suggest to change for more academic and formal setting. (eg: Recurrence Anxiety in Women Living Beyond Breast Cancer)

It needs to be improved significantly. The first sentence is too simple, 'methodology' is vague, 'results' is too short and hard to understand, 'conclusion' is too obvious and doesn't show the uniqueness of the study.

Overall, the article is too short and there are several grammar errors. It is strongly recommended to ask a support from an English-native speaker who understands academic paper and statistics.

It needs to improve significantly. It is hard to comment at this moment as there are too many (eg. In section 2.4, what's the reason of chi-sq test and t-test? What are the degrees of freedom for chi-sq test? Why did you consider factor analysis, in section 3, the interpretation is missing and it's hard to follow what the authors are trying to discuss. Tables need to be improved a lot, it is suggested to include effect size, etc).

The reviewer is not an expert on the breast cancer survivor study and can't tell whether the references are recent and sufficient. There is only one article [8] published in 2020 and the rest of them are before. I doubt there are none of published since then.