

Reviewer-1

The manuscript, with its focus on the experiences of neurodivergent youth, has the potential to impact the scientific community significantly. Neurodivergent youth, defined as individuals whose neurological development and functioning diverge from what is typically classified as 'neurotypical,' are a crucial but often overlooked demographic. However, to ensure its full potential is realized, it is necessary to restructure the manuscript to enhance its readability and to ensure it adheres to the submission guidelines of the journal.

The title is appropriate, accurately reflecting the study's focus. However, revisions are needed, particularly in adding methodology, analysis, and results to represent a case study effectively.

The abstract provides a clear overview of the study's background and objectives. However, it should include methods, findings, and implications for practice to enhance its scientific clarity.

The overall quality of the English used is generally suitable for scholarly communication; however, minor grammatical revisions, the necessity of sentence restructuring in certain areas for clarity and coherence, and corrections to APA formatting are necessary. According to APA style guidelines, parenthetical citations for direct quotes should be placed immediately following the quote, incorporating the author's last name, the year of publication, and the page number (or an alternative locator when page numbers are not available). The period should be placed after the closing parenthesis.

The manuscript requires overall restructuring. The Introduction should be expanded to meet the standard of 10% of the paper's total length. Additionally, the Literature Review needs to incorporate more references, as some paragraphs contain few citations beyond those included at the end. Adding more references will highlight the depth and breadth of your research.

Since the article is titled "Case Study," it must include a Method section that details the case study of Alanna, followed by a Discussion section. The Discussion is insightful and provides both practical and theoretical implications. However, consider clarifying some of the non-significant findings further and presenting a Conclusion that goes beyond merely summarizing the content, instead offering a meaningful contribution grounded in the relevant literature.

The article would benefit from additional references from the relevant literature. Moreover, some existing references should be updated to align with contemporary research. It would be advisable to prioritize references from the last decade, or to complement older references with newer studies, ensuring a balanced representation of foundational works alongside recent publications.

This article possesses significant potential; however, it requires thorough revision and subsequent resubmission.