	Editor's comment	Author's comment(If agreed with the editor, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	This study looked at novel information affecting hip strength due to detrimental posture. Populations spend more time in a sitting posture these days and it is important to know that hip strength will be affected with poor posture.	Yes, with some individuals more susceptible to the strength decline than others.
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	Yes, the article is suitable.	Agree
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Yes, article abstract is sufficient.	Agree
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Yes!	Agree
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	I think the HHMTD device could use a little more explanation as to how it works (line 95). The more commonly known handheld dynamometer (HHD) is held in the subject's hand to measure hand strength. I was very confused as to how you were measuring hip abductor strength until I looked up the HHMTD device! It looks like a neat device but may be more common in physical therapy fields.	The device is very common in the physical therapy profession for measuring muscle strength in the clinical setting.
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient?	They are appropriate and timely.	Agree

If you have any	
suggestions, please write	
here.	

PART 1: Article Title: Effect of Posture on Hip Abductor Strength: Implications for Clinical Practice

PART 2:

	Editor's comment	
	(If yes, Kindly please write	
Are there ethical issues in	down the ethical issues here	
this manuscript?	<u>in detail)</u>	
Are there competing	Not that I see.	Agree
interest issues in this		
manuscript?		
Do you think the article is	Not that I can tell.	Agree
plagiarized?		
If yes, please justify your		
answer and send us some		
proof.		
Do you think a Disclaimer is	no	Agree
required to explain the		
history		
of this manuscript?		
(As in most cases chapters of		
reference books		
are extended versions of		
previously published articles		
in some journals)		

PART 3: Declaration of Competing Interest of the Editor:

Here reviewer should declare his/her competing interest. If nothing to declare he/she can write "I declare that I have no competing interest as a reviewer"

I declare that I have no competing interest as a reviewer. Correct.

PART 4: Objective Evaluation:

Guideline	MARKS of this manuscript
Give OVERALL MARKS you want to give	
to this manuscript	
(Highest: 10 Lowest: 0)	
Guideline:	
Accept As It Is: (>9-10)	
Minor Revision: (>8-9)	8
Major Revision: (>7-8)	
Serious Major revision: (>5-7)	
Rejected (with repairable deficiencies and	
may be reconsidered): (>3-5)	
Strongly rejected (with irreparable	
deficiencies.): (>0-3)	

Dear Editors,

Thank you for the opportunity to revise the article: Effect of Posture on Hip Abductor Strength: Implications for Clinical Practice. Attached please find the final revised draft. Thoughtful consideration was given to each Editor's comments with many of the suggestions implemented into the revised draft. Changes to the manuscript are highlighted in yellow to the best of my ability as there are both additions and omissions. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Regards,

Steven Pheasant PT, PhD