

	Editor's comment	Author's comment <i>(If agreed with the editor, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)</i>
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	The manuscript is essential for the scientific community. As an occupational therapist for more than 20- years, I recognize that recovery for any patient or client begins with mental health recovery. The statistical data illustrates that there is a need to prioritize mental health wellness. However, I feel that the focus should be less about OT program-classroom preparedness and more about practice implementation. Book knowledge and hands on experience vary greatly. 8	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	The Value of Using Occupational Therapy Intervention to Address the Psychosocial Needs of Stroke Survivors	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Yes. However, there should be some statistical data or disparity provided within the purpose narrative to validate the need to initiate this study	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	yes	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	Consider merging the Common Consequences of Strokes heading to with the Impact of Stroke on Roles and Occupations. The narrative for both headings are similar as they both focus on occupations. Consider renaming the heading Unmet Needs to	

	Unmet Needs of Stroke Survivors. Consider changing the heading OT can play a vital role.... To The Role of OT in Addressing the Psychosocial Needs of Stroke Survivors. Consider changing Educational Preparedness heading to Addressing Psychosocial Needs through Educational Preparedness. Please clarify the third research question regarding the 2018 ACOTE Standards. I understand where you are going because of my knowledge of ACOTE Standards. However, the question is confusing. For the inclusion criteria, the participant must work primarily with stroke patients. If the OT works mainly on a prn or as needed basis working six hours a week and out of 8 patients for the week, they treat 5 stroke patients. Will they qualify? The inclusionary criteria may need to be re-examined.	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	Yes 1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Stroke facts. https://www.cdc.gov/stroke/facts.htm . Accessed April 11, 2023. Retrieved, from..... <i>incomplete</i>	

PART 1: Article Title:

PART 2:

	Editor's comment	
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail)</i> no	
Are there competing interest issues in this manuscript?	no	
Do you think the article is plagiarized?	no	

If yes, please justify your answer and send us some proof.		
Do you think a Disclaimer is required to explain the history of this manuscript? (As in most cases chapters of reference books are extended versions of previously published articles in some journals)	no	

PART 3: Declaration of Competing Interest of the Editor:

Here reviewer should declare his/her competing interest. If nothing to declare he/she can write “I declare that I have no competing interest as a reviewer”

I declare that I have no competing interest as a reviewer.

PART 4: Objective Evaluation:

Guideline	MARKS of this manuscript
<p>Give OVERALL MARKS you want to give to this manuscript (Highest: 10 Lowest: 0)</p> <p>Guideline: Accept As It Is: (>9-10) Minor Revision: (>8-9) Major Revision: (>7-8) Serious Major revision: (>5-7) Rejected (with repairable deficiencies and may be reconsidered): (>3-5) Strongly rejected (with irreparable deficiencies.): (>0-3)</p>	<p>8 Minor Revision</p>