

The manuscript is important for the scientific community, regarding nursing education and the impact of Seven-week asynchronous formats for nursing education courses. The use of peer review assignment is in alignment that peer assessment and feedback are effective strategies for enhancing student engagement, writing skills, and the development of a collective learning culture in education.

The abstract is comprehensive in describing the implementation and evaluation of a low-stakes anonymous peer review assignment in a seven-week asynchronous graduate research nursing course.

The English used is suitable for scholarly communications. It employs clear formal, and precise language

-Introduction: The sentence stating “ its role in improving patient outcomes and healthcare quality —is well documented” is quite broad, expand briefly how nursing scholarship and EBP impact patient outcomes and healthcare quality

-The sentence about “the 6-8 week compressed online course- has become increasingly common” is somewhat vague and needs supporting evidence.

Analysis: -In the sentence “: The artificial Intelligence (AI) tool ChatGPT-4 identified ten randomized posts which were distributed to the three researchers, who conducted independent reviews”, it might be helpful to provide additional details how the post was randomized, how ChatGPT-4 generate a random sample or if another process was used.

Results: The results are detailed and provide specific values but there is Inconsistency use of the denominator switching between 105 and sometimes 15 which may confuse readers. Clarify the rationale for selecting 15 out of the total 105 narratives separately to analyze. Some results are reported with both percentages and count(Ninety-eight percent (n=103/105) while others are mixed (53.33% (9/15). It will be best to standardize it for clarity.

Discussion: It would be great to address the study’s limitations or discuss any potential bias. It would also be helpful to highlight future implications and recommendations for nursing education and practice.

The references are proper, recent, as they are all within the 5 years, and sufficient to support the content. Since ChatGPT/AI analysis was in the methods, provide a reference on the AI used.

