

	Editor's comment	Author's comment <i>(If agreed with the editor, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)</i>
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	Yes. Academic advising is an integral component of any physical therapy program. Exploring and establishing ways to more effectively advise students is beneficial to DPT programs.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	Yes, the title is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	The abstract is comprehensive, though terms should be defined prior to using abbreviations.	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	The writing is of high quality. A few minor edits for syntax and punctuation are noted in the manuscript.	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	The background of the issue is well described, as are the methods and the results. In the discussion section, the authors describe the primary focus of the study “was to evaluate the advisor-advisee relationships within a graduate physical therapy program and to identify areas of improvement for both students and faculty experiences.” The authors did not address a secondary purpose. Notably, a description of the use of Cronbach's Alpha to assess the internal validity of the developed survey was	

	described in the methods section; however, this was not addressed in the discussion section. This should be further explored as the reliability of the survey influences any inferences made.	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	Yes	

PART 1: Article Title:

PART 2:

	Editor's comment	
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail)</i>	
Are there competing interest issues in this manuscript?	No	
Do you think the article is plagiarized? If yes, please justify your answer and send us some proof.	No	
Do you think a Disclaimer is required to explain the history of this manuscript? (As in most cases chapters of reference books are extended versions of previously published articles in some journals)	No	

PART 3: Declaration of Competing Interest of the Editor:

Here reviewer should declare his/her competing interest. If nothing to declare he/she can write "I declare that I have no competing interest as a reviewer"

I declare that I have no competing interest as a reviewer.

PART 4: Objective Evaluation:

Guideline	MARKS of this manuscript
<p>Give OVERALL MARKS you want to give to this manuscript (Highest: 10 Lowest: 0)</p> <p><u>Guideline:</u> Accept As It Is: (>9-10) Minor Revision: (>8-9) Major Revision: (>7-8) Serious Major revision: (>5-7) Rejected (with repairable deficiencies and may be reconsidered): (>3-5) Strongly rejected (with irreparable deficiencies.): (>0-3)</p>	<p>9/10: minor edits, as identified above.</p>