

Review Comments-2

Lack of Analytical Depth:

While the paper summarizes the literature effectively, it often describes rather than critically analyzes. The author should engage more deeply with contradictions, tensions, or gaps in the existing scholarship—e.g., how ethical frameworks can realistically be operationalized in institutions with resource constraints.

Limited Theoretical Framework:

The study would benefit from grounding its discussion in a clear theoretical model (such as sociotechnical theory, critical algorithm studies, or actor–network theory). This would strengthen conceptual coherence.

Repetition and Redundancy:

Several ideas—particularly regarding fairness, surveillance, and participatory design—are reiterated across multiple sections. The author could consolidate these to reduce redundancy and improve flow.

Insufficient Methodological Explanation:

Since this is a review paper, it should explicitly describe **how the literature was selected** (e.g., databases used, inclusion/exclusion criteria, timeframe). This would improve transparency and reproducibility.

Balance Between Technical and Ethical Perspectives:

The technological innovations section is descriptive but lacks a critical discussion on the **pedagogical implications** of each innovation. How do these technologies reshape teaching and learning paradigms beyond governance concerns?