

PART 1: Article Title:	Editor's comment	Author's comment <i>(If agreed with the editor, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer</p>	<p>Although the manuscript references peer-reviewed journals, its usage would be more important for the practicing clinician who is searching for rehabilitation resources for patients with DMD. The clinical community would mostly benefit from this article. It is an adequate practice-based medical rehabilitation article.</p> <p>It can be used as a quick reference of the types of medication that is used to treat DMD and the way to progress rehabilitation for the patient. It does not go into detail about the science behind the disease nor how the medications and the physical activity may or may not interact. Again, the article is more of a quick reference guide.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?</p>	<p>The title of the article is suitable.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions</p>	<p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p>	
<p>Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness</p>	<p>With the structure of the manuscript, the author should be sure to have at least three sentences for a paragraph.</p>	

of different sections of the manuscript.	There should not be stand-alone sentences in this type of manuscript.	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	Yes.	

PART 2:

	Editor's comment	
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail)</i> No. The faces of the children in the pictures were covered.	
Are there competing interest issues in this manuscript?	No.	
Do you think the article is plagiarized? If yes, please justify your answer and send us some proof.	No.	
Do you think a Disclaimer is required to explain the history of this manuscript? (As in most cases chapters of reference books are extended versions of previously published articles in some journals)	No.	

PART 3: Declaration of Competing Interest of the Editor:

<p>Here reviewer should declare his/her competing interest. If nothing to declare he/she can write "I declare that I have no competing interest as a reviewer"</p> <p>I declare that I have no competing interest as a reviewer.</p>
--

PART 4: Objective Evaluation:

Guideline	MARKS of this manuscript
<p>Give OVERALL MARKS you want to give to this manuscript (Highest: 10 Lowest: 0)</p> <p>Guideline: Accept As It Is: (>9-10) Minor Revision: (>8-9) Major Revision: (>7-8) Serious Major revision: (>5-7) Rejected (with repairable deficiencies and may be reconsidered): (>3-5) Strongly rejected (with irreparable deficiencies.): (>0-3)</p>	<p>9/10</p>