

Review-2

First, this appears to be more of an editorial and not a research article. Additionally, there is a lot of conjecture which is not necessarily supported by provided references. Finally, I'm not sure there is anything new in this manuscript which would add to our body of knowledge.

I found it difficult to align the title with what was presented in the article. The connection between PRESENCE and public health as a component of human health at the population level is weak. abstract was provided.

There are several grammatical and punctuation issues in the article but the English is appropriate.

There are sections of the manuscript with no citation that need at least one and other areas where they seem excessive. Additionally, 5 out of the 10 references listed are related to the authors of the manuscript. The paper needs more references and references from a more diverse research base.

Specific Comments: There are several issues with this manuscript as written which are addressed above. As written, do not accept. If appropriate adjustments are made to the manuscript to adequately address these issues, the article has potential for publication. However, it does not meet the criteria as an original article. If accepted, it more aligns with an editorial, topic review article, or a commentary.