

Reviewer-1

The manuscript contributes meaningfully to the literature.

An alternative, slightly more concise title could be:

“Leveraging Generative AI for Integrity Governance: Complementarity Between GDI and UNCAC Reports”

The abstract is fairly comprehensive, but it could be improved by briefly stating or adding one sentence or two on the **main findings or contributions**. E.g. How AI enhanced the evaluation framework and what implications were found regarding whistleblower protection.

Research Design: Methodological explanation (embedding, vector databases, AI personas) is innovative and detailed, but should also discuss **validity concerns** about AI accuracy more explicitly.

Analysis (Whistleblower Protection focus): The dual-lens(UNCAC + GDI) approach is a strong case study.

Conclusion and Limitations: Well-developed, appropriately acknowledging AI’s risks and constraints.

References are adequate and up-to-date, with many 2022–2023 sources.

Specific Comments:

1. Revise abstract to include main findings or contributions.
2. Expand methodological discussion on **validity and reliability** of AI-assisted analysis.
3. Shorten the title to a more simple and make it easy to read, as suggested in the first page.