

Dear Editor,

Thank you for your continued guidance and constructive recommendations. We appreciate the opportunity to further refine the manuscript.

In response to your feedback, we have clearly separated the Results and Discussion sections in accordance with conventional scholarly standards. The Results section now presents the synthesized findings objectively and without interpretation, while the Discussion section is dedicated exclusively to interpretive analysis, conceptual integration, and contextualization within the broader literature. This restructuring strengthens methodological clarity and improves the manuscript's analytical rigor.

We have also substantially reduced the number of subheadings. Several subthemes that did not meaningfully enhance structural clarity were consolidated or removed to improve cohesion and narrative flow. The manuscript now more closely aligns with standard academic journal conventions and reads as a cohesive scholarly article rather than a report.

At the same time, given that this is a qualitative narrative review synthesizing multidimensional psychosocial findings, we believe that retaining a limited number of carefully selected thematic subheadings is methodologically appropriate. Thematic organization is central to qualitative synthesis and supports reader comprehension of complex, intersecting constructs. Accordingly, while we have streamlined the structure considerably, we have preserved essential thematic organization to maintain conceptual clarity and analytic integrity.

We believe these revisions significantly enhance the manuscript's scholarly presentation while preserving the methodological foundations of the review.

Thank you again for your valuable feedback and consideration.

Sincerely,