

## **Reviewer-2**

Yes – while I am not a communications scholar – this study seems to be important to understand framing for the purpose of future qualitative analysis – new and emerging methods are always important

The title is appropriate

I don't have the abstract to this article – I only got the article and this form.

Yes – there are several places where I made edits because words were wither repeated or left out –but overall – the English is good.

The sections are appropriate. I am not familiar with a numbering process for sections – but the headings are appropriate.

The references seem to support the paper – but many of them are quite dated. The most recent one is 2011 – which is still 14 years ago. In one place authors refer to “a recent study” and the date is 2012. That's not exactly recent – it's 13 years old. The article could be supported with updating the references to more current literature – if it exists – and explaining why it doesn't exist if nothing has been published since 2012.