

Manuscript Title: IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL COGNITIVE ASSESSMENT (MOCA) TOOL FOR EARLY DETECTION OF DEMENTIA

Reviewer's Comments: Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? (Few sentences) **Yes.** It is a needed QI project that the community will be grateful to have.

Strengths:

1. **Clear Research Objective: Yes**
2. **Methodological Rigor: Yes**
3. **Clinical Relevance: Yes**
4. **Comprehensive Literature Review: Ok**
5. **Well-Organized Structure: Yes**

Area of improvement:

1. **Title:**
Good, but should not be all caps- Thank you, we made the changes.
2. **Abstract:**
Citations not needed in abstracts
Instead of writing in first person, use third person. The study utilized...
The findings highlight...that Moca and healthcare... It seems that Moca is the focus of your study and the healthcare practitioners
Add Moca to your key words- Changes made- Thank you made all the changes.
3. **Introduction:**
Put the first 2 sentences in your Introduction into your Materials and Methods section
The Moca screening tool should be in your Appendix and not as a Figure. Additionally, it probably should be the first Appendix.
Moca, U.S., and WHO should be spelled out the first time you use the abbreviation in the body of an article.
Background: dementia is capitalized and does not need to be.
Is it necessary to comment on the DNP Essentials or can you just say person-centered care?
Theoretical framework: The last word "outcomes" is written twice- Thank you for the Feedback. The introduction has been revised and all changes had been made.
4. **Methodology Clarity:**
The last 3 sentences in Materials and Methods are repetitive- We revised this area.
Data Collection: Repetitive sentences noted in the middle of the paragraph- We made changes to the sentence.
5. **Figures:**
6. **Tables and Study Data Integration:**
Review grammar.
The provider satisfaction should be an Appendix.
At the end of the project is repeated in the last paragraph-repetitive- Thank you we reviewed grammar and made changes to the Appendix per your feedback.
7. **Discussion:**
Future Recommendations: citations needed in the second paragraph for the first 2

sentences. Thank you made changes

8. Limitation:

9. Conclusion:

10. References:

Bennett and Thomas reference missing- Included

The handout should be noted in the body of the article and it should be listed as an Appendix- Included

The Screening and Referral Protocol should be noted in the body of the article and it should be listed as an Appendix- included

Minor Suggestions:

1. Clarity & Conciseness

2. Minor Typographical & Formatting Issues

Recommendations for Publication:

Guideline:

- Accept:
- Minor Revision: Well written, needs minor revisions
- Major Revision:
- Serious Major revision:
- Rejected (with repairable deficiencies and may be reconsidered):
- Strongly rejected (with irreparable deficiencies.):

For ease of review, the authors have highlighted all changes in yellow. Thank you