Review 1

Had (the study is already done so everything needs to be in the past tense).

Did you include these 4 participants in the study too or were they excluded? If you included them, why? If they did not get DEI education, how will they answer your 2nd and 3rd research question? This is a little unclear to me. Try to write how including the 4 that did not have the DEI education contributed to your study. Did you analyze data on all 9 participants?

These numbers do not add up. If you had a total of 9 participants, 4 out of 9 had DEI education as a student (how is that 80%?), 3 participants never had any DEI education but above in the demographic part, you write that 4 had to skip to the last question due to no DEI education. What happened to the remaining 2 participants? Did they get the DEI education as a practitioner? If so, please write that clearly. This information is confusing to me.
This is a very long sentence and can get confusing. Consider breaking it up in 2 parts to make it clear.

Is this the qualitative part of your survey? It needs to be explained in more detail. Did you arrive at any themes? If so, what were they? Did all the 5 participants answer these 2 questions? How did you analyze this data? Codebook, line by line coding, discussion with practice expert?? All this needs to be explained in detail. Also consider making a visual for your themes.

In figure III, name your X axis. Is it the number of participants? 4??

You should have reached out to your state association and they will give their addresses for research purposes.

This is what I was talking about earlier. Did you still include them in your study or were they excluded? Your inclusion and exclusion criteria needs to be written clearly. If participants did not have DEI education, they were only included in the demographic survey??

Since this is a OT study, consider including a paragraph called- Implications to OT practice.