

Review comment-1

The article describes the history of an important social policy but does not actively critique it nor provide a discussion or a conclusion. This is not yet an appropriate scholarly paper for an academic journal.

The article does start off with a review of the history of deinstitutionalization but it is a very surface-level description rather than a deep dive into the policy. This issue can be extended to each section, which are under-researched, and lack academic paper-level argumentation. There are also a lot of claims made without citations.

For this paper to be acceptable it would need many more references, at least 50-75. There are currently 20 references which matches the term paper style.