

Reviewer-1

The paper is important for the scientific community because it addresses a crucial social issue.

The abstract lacks a list of key words.

However, I think the content of some sections or subsections can be expanded. The information is too brief. For a paper focused on literature, more information is necessary for the methodology section than the half a page put.

In the findings, I would suggest briefing the readers on the key points to highlight before delving into defining and describing the key concepts.

More information needed on “exclusion of non-Judeo-Christian religions” mentioned three times in the paper, but without enough details for the readers to understand what is meant.

The Table 1, almost 16 page-long, longer even than the paper itself, is inappropriate. Yet, I do not have suggestions on how to shorten the table to a maximum of half the current length, if possible. Also, it is good to repeat the header on each page where the table expands to, instead of a header at the start.

Better structuring and information benefit the methods section.

The information in most sections needs to be further detailed.

The length of the Table 1 is problematic